

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,688	12/28/2001	Thierry Brusseaux	09669.008001	9251	
22511	7590 01/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OSHA & MAY L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET HOUSTON, TX 77010			RUHL, DENN	RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629		
		DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ŀ	
ı	N
ı	IV
ı	WI
ı	\mathcal{I}

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	K
09/936,688	BRUSSEAUX, THIERRY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis Ruhl	3629	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment whice condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ation. A proper reply to a character the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TO 706.07(f).	ng date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CF fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding ame fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the page 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	·
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mater issues for appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): none.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a s canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been consapplication in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or be explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	•
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	Ton
	DEMAND
	DENNIS RUHL PRIMARY EVANUES

MARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended language added to the claim requires further consideration by the examiner. The scope of the claims is changed by the after final amendment..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments for patentability are based on the amendment which has been denied entry because it requires further consideration from the examiner..